

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/604,328	BLANCO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nitin C. Patel	2116	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Nitin C. Patel.

(3) _____.

 (2) Mr. Friedman Jack [reg. # 44688].

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 June 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: *n/a*.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
 16

Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner initiated an interview with attorney regarding typographical errors in the claim 16. Attorney has authorized the examiner to correct it with examiner's amendment and expediate the prosecution.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Nitin C. Patel 6/19/06
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)